EXHIBIT 114

1

DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT SUPREME COURT - APPELLATE DIVISION

-----X

In the Matter of:

RP #2018.7008

STEVEN DONZIGER

-----X

61 Broadway New York, New York 10006 Monday, September 16, 2019

BEFORE: JOHN HORAN, REFEREE

APPEARANCES:

For the Departmental Disciplinary Committee First Judicial Department:

61 Broadway 2nd Floor New York, New York 10006

BY: NAOMI GOLDSTEIN, ESQ. GEORGE DAVIDSON, ESQ.

For the Respondent:

RICHARD FRIEDMAN, ESQ. MARTIN GARBUS, ESQ. AARON PAGE, ESQ.

Carole Ludwig
Transcription Services
141 East Third Street #3E
New York, New York 10009
Phone: (212) 420-0771

Email: transcription420@aol.com

1	133 PAGE - CROSS (by Davidson)
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	MR. DAVIDSON: You were also involved in
4	fundraising, assisting Mr. Donziger in
5	fundraising?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, I assisted him, yes.
7	MR. DAVIDSON: And for several instances of
8	funding the investment by the funders went to
9	the, first to the Canadian firm that's handling
10	the enforcement proceeding there, is that
11	correct?
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it varied per
13	the terms of each, you know, fundraising deal.
14	But yeah, I mean a lot of the fundraising was
15	for the purpose of supporting this litigation
16	in Canada and paying this Canadian lawyer.
17	MR. DAVIDSON: Right. And in a number of
18	instances, monies from those investments came
19	back to Mr. Donziger?
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my
21	understanding.
22	MR. DAVIDSON: In late 2017 or thereabouts,
23	there was a couple of fundraising investments
24	that were obtained where Mr. Lenczner was
25	effectively cut out and the money only went to

135

PAGE - CROSS (by Davidson)
accurate.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. DAVIDSON: Well straighten it out.

THE WITNESS: Right, so the plaintiff side of an enforcement action is complicated by the fact that it's a popular action in Ecuador. So while there were named plaintiffs, they were, their status of beneficiaries of the judgment was always somewhat unclear because they were collective environmental claims, further complicated by the fact that following the Appellate Division, the Appellate decision in Ecuador, they assigned, as directed by the Court, the individuals assigned their interests into a trust in Ecuador and the named beneficiary of the trust is de Frente de Defensa. And all it is is in public documents. And so, you know, and then there's proceedings in Canada that were initiated at one time and different things have changed.

So it's not exactly clear. Now there are other issues related to who, you know, there have been some contests regarding relationships with clients in Ecuador and so it's, my understanding is that there are, you know,

PAGE - CROSS (by Davidson)

there's one group that would represent some

plaintiffs, another group that would represent

other plaintiffs. There's also de Frente de

Defensa that has a legitimate role in enforcing

the judgment as the beneficiary under the trust

and so forth, has its own interest, so it's

fairly complicated. But we certainly were very

involved in that litigation, very, very

MR. DAVIDSON: Now isn't it true that the counsel for the 47 plaintiffs in Ecuador who signed the retainer, (indiscernible) retainer agreement, has called you, Mr. Donziger and Mr. Johnson, not you, sorry, as running a scam by selling these interests in the judgment?

THE WITNESS: So, yeah, not unlike a lot of collective actions, there are rivalries and at this point there's an individual who has chosen to, you know, advance his own interests and his own control and he does so in part by attacking Mr. Donziger --

MR. DAVIDSON: That's funny, because that's the same thing they claim of Mr. Donziger, that he's advancing his own interests.

137 1 PAGE - CROSS (by Davidson) 2 Yeah, it's a, I mean it is THE WITNESS: 3 what it is. 4 MR. DAVIDSON: But at the time this money came in in these last two fundraising events, 5 6 you had no litigation to fund and the money 7 really supported Mr. Donziger for the most part, paid his mortgage. 8 THE WITNESS: You know, I would certainly 9 10 disagree with that. I wish we were in a place where we had no litigation to fund but there 11 12 was lots of litigation, there were lots of 13 demands on that money from all over the place. I mean to the extent, there's references to the 14 15 fact that the money no longer went to Mr. 16 Lenczner's firm, you know, the early, my 17 understanding of it roughly is that the early 18 investments were to shore him up because that 19 was absolutely critical that that happened, and 20 the vast majority of the funds went to Mr. 21 Lenczner's firm. As he was paid up, as his 22 retainer was paid up and he was able to keep

23

24

25

MR. DAVIDSON: The other priorities, seem

working, then other priorities were addressed

with different fundraising agreements.

actions, such as it was, litigation on behalf

of people that live in Ecuador, was in Canada.

24

25

1	DAGE GROGG (by Poviden)
1	PAGE - CROSS (by Davidson)
2	And there was no litigation in which Mr.
3	Donziger, other than defending himself there is
4	no litigation in which he was representing
5	Ecuadorians when he might raise these other
6	funds.
7	THE REFEREE: Okay.
8	MR. DAVIDSON: That's my point and I think
9	I made it.
10	THE REFEREE: So he was raising money at
11	the time basically for himself is what you're
12	saying.
13	MR. DAVIDSON: That's the point, yeah.
14	Okay, I have no further questions at this time.
15	THE REFEREE: Any redirect, Mr. Freidman?
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. FREIDMAN:
18	MR. FREIDMAN: Have you seen Mr. Donziger
19	in your view do anything improper with the
20	money that he's raised?
21	THE REFEREE: Well maybe you should
22	rephrase that, what does that mean?
23	MR. FREIDMAN: What did that mean? I mean,
24	what did we just hear, discovery for Chevron in
25	the post judgment proceeding or we're

```
221
 1
 2
                       C E R T I F I C A T E
 3
 4
             I, Carole Ludwig, certify that the foregoing
    transcript of proceedings in the State of New York,
 5
 6
    County of New York, was prepared using PC-based
7
    transcribing software and is a true and accurate record
8
    of the proceedings.
9
10
11
    Signature Carole Ludwig
12
13
    Date: September 20, 2019
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```